Criteria of candidate assessment in the recruitment procedure at the doctoral school [PROGRAMME IN ENGLISH]

1. The candidate's scientific activity (0-15 points), including:

Publications:	
research monograph	10-15
edited collection of research essays	5-10
book chapter / essay in an edited collection	5
article in a scientific journal	5-15
research article in a student/doctoral journal	1-5
research article accepted for publication (certificate from a publishing house/editorial office of a journal)	1-5
Participation in academic conferences:	I.
active participation in an international conference	5
active participation in a domestic/local conference	3
active participation in a student/doctoral conference	1
Participation in a research project (grants*)	5-15
Patent, implementation	5-15
Other scientific achievements	0-5

^{*}based on a certificate issued by the project manager/grant agency

The final score shall be determined by the recruitment committee based on the assessment of the specific achievement with respect to the score range shown in the table.

2. The candidate's additional activities (0-5 points)

Examples:

membership in scientific associations	1-3
achievements in science popularization	1-3
participation in internships and student exchange programmes	1-3
awards, distinctions, research scholarships	1-5
volunteering	1-2
other activities	0-3

The final score shall be determined by the committee based on the evaluation of the specific achievement within the score presented in the table.

3. The candidate's scientific potential (0-30 points)

Parts of the interview:

- presentation of the research project,
- presentation of scientific interests and scientific achievements,
- discussion about the doctoral project proposed by the candidate.

The following shall be taken into account when evaluating the interview:

- a) the candidate's ability to formulate and present the research problem in the context of the current status of research in this area (0-10),
- b) the candidate's proposed solutions to the research problem presented (0-10 points),
- c) the candidate's knowledge and methodological awareness, including knowledge of the relevant secondary literature in the field (0-5 points),
- d) the candidate's ability to initiate and participate in scientific discussion (0-5 points).

The final assessment of the interview is the average of the total points awarded by each member of the recruitment committee. The final result is rounded to the nearest whole number.